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Abstract

As guideline for increased Dunckerocampus breeding, based on *D. pessuliferus* as husbandry analogue, we oppose husbandry management, captive reproduction and larval development of the species in the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe, Germany, and in the Aquarium of the Cologne Zoo, Germany. We observed mating, during which eggs were attached to the male, lasting up to an hour. Juveniles hatched after eight days at sizes of 4-5 mm. Adult color pattern developed after three weeks and maturity was reached after six months, at total sizes of 10.5-11 cm. We present survival rates and provide recommendations for optimum feeding. We further performed a ZIMS (Zoological Information Management System) database analysis (in October 2016 and May 2018) and observed a recent increase in the keeping of *D. pessuliferus*
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with currently nine institutions in a global scale (five of them being in Europe) holding this species (with 43 individuals in total). As not all zoos enter their collection data into ZIMS, we also checked the website "Zootierliste" (http://www.zootierliste.de/) for locating additional species holdings in Germany and other countries in Europe, however, which also includes private zoos and animal rescue facilities. Entries on the website "Zootierliste" from May 2018 showed a total of 17 European institutions holding _D. pessuliferus_: In total ten institutions in Germany and seven institutions in different European countries. Six of the listed institutions from Germany and other European countries were not included in ZIMS. According to ZIMS, only two further species of the seven currently recognized _Dunckerocampus_ species are held in zoos globally: _D. dactyliophorus_ with 70 individuals distributed among 16 zoos in North America (10), Europe (5), and Africa (1), and _D. multiannulatus_ with 4 individuals in only one institution in Europe. We emphasize the importance of breeding efforts of not yet or so far only rarely bred marine fish species as well as improved exchange of knowledge and available zoo stock between institutions including publication of husbandry management and breeding successes for more sustainable aquaristics.
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**Introduction**

The Syngnathidae are a family of fishes which are characterized amongst others by the relatively long snout and an elongated body with a series of bony rings. They include the seahorses, the pipefishes, the pipehorses, and the leafy, ruby, and woody seadragons, which mostly are marine species but also can be found in brackish or even fresh water. Usually they inhabit shallow water with the males carrying the eggs and incubating them until hatching (Nelson, 1994). According to Eschmeyer (2014), 57 genera are known containing 300 species, which have a wide distribution range, but most of them living in the tropics such as in the Indian or Pacific Ocean. The genus _Dunckerocampus_ contains seven species (Froese & Pauly, 2018): the redstripe pipefish (_D. baldwini_), the broad-banded pipefish (_D. boylei_), the glowtail pipefish (_D. chapmani_), the ringed pipefish (_D. dactyliophorus_), the many-banded pipefish (_D. multiannulatus_), the naia pipefish (_D. naia_), and the yellow-banded pipefish (_D. pessuliferus_); in the World Register of Marine Species (WORMS) also _D. caulleryi_ is given as a valid species, but it is not listed with specific status in FishBase. _Dunckerocampus_ representatives occur within a wide distribution range in the Indo-Pacific Ocean region (Froese & Pauly, 2018).

_Dunckerocampus pessuliferus_, formerly also referred to as _Doryrhamphus pessuliferus_, is a coastal marine pipefish with a maximum size of 16 cm that dwells in coral patches on sandy or muddy slopes at depths of 15-35 m. The species inhabits water around the Coral Triangle including the Philippines, Indonesia, and northwestern Australia. Since these fish are active cleaners, they remove crustacean parasites from other fishes (Dawson, 1985; Kuiter, 1998; Allen & Erdmann, 2012).

In the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, _D. pessuliferus_ is listed as Least Concern together with _D. baldwini, D. boylei, D. multiannulatus_, and _D. naia_, whereas the remaining species of _Dunckerocampus_ are listed as Data Deficient (Pollom, 2016). However, _D. pessuliferus_ is under threat from ongoing coral and habitat loss, degradation, traditional medicine and trade for use in aquariums (Bruno & Selig, 2007, Carpenter et al., 2008, Vincent et al., 2011, Normile, 2016).

To counteract such negative trend and in particular to promote sustainable aquaristics, we herein report about the successful zoo breeding of this species in the public aquarium sections
of the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe and of Cologne Zoo, both in Germany. As a practical guideline for improved and increased breeding we opposed both the husbandry management and reproduction successes of *D. pessuliferus* at both institutions. We further provide a description of the larval development and give an overview of zoological gardens keeping this species based on information obtained from the Zoological Information Management System database, an international record keeping database for zoological institutions, complemented with data from “Zootierliste”.

**Material and methods**

**Captive management at the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe**

The first breeding pair of *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus* was purchased from a zoo shop (Kölle Zoo) in 2006.

Data acquisition started in 2008. At that time, the breeding pair was held in a circulation system consisting of six cylindrical tanks with sizes of H (height) 22 x R (radius) 66 cm each and two aquariums with an actual capacity of 130 l each, L (length) 100 x W (width) 40 x H 40 cm in size (see also Fig. 1, Tab. 1). Originally, the circulation system was built for the breeding of longsnout seahorses (*Hippocampus reidi*) which required a distinct current installation including a downstream at the edges of the tank.

The aquariums with a total capacity of 1,000 l were filled with a few artificial plastic *Valvisneria* and had a skimmer type AP 703 from “Deltec”. Furthermore, two sides were covered with black PVC plates and four 40W spotlights and one 36W fluorescent tube were installed on top of the aquariums. Additionally, we equipped the aquariums with three fine pored suction
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**Fig. 1:** Rearing tanks for *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus* at the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe, Germany: for juveniles (upper left), for larvae with fine pored mesh (lower left), and rearing tanks plus captive tanks for breeding pairs (right). Photos: J. Kirchhauser.
strainers, type classic 600 of “Eheim”, as well as pure wadding filling and a small bucket with holes including wadding for coarse dirt.

To avoid an infection with Hydrozoa, the aquariums and the interior were repeatedly sanitized within two weeks with fresh water for 24 hours each. In addition, the complete water system flowed through two 18W UV sterilization lights (“JBL”) to control a resurgence of Hydrozoa and bacteria.

The tanks had a flow rate of 50 l per hour and a water temperature of 26 °C. On average, the NO₃⁻ (nitrate) value ranged between 10-12.5 mg/l and the PO₄³⁻ (phosphate) value ranged between 0.25-0.34 mg/l.

Two days prior to hatching the rearing aquariums were filled with saltwater and every 14 days the juveniles were transferred into a fresh water cleaned cylindrical tank to ensure a Hydrozoa free environment.

The male fish were transferred into the rearing tank when the eggs turned darker 1-2 days before hatching.

### Captive management at Cologne Zoo

In October 2016, breeding was started with a pair of *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus* received from a zoo shop (“von Wussow”) in July 2016 (at that time the female had a total length of 14 cm and the male of 14.5 cm).

They were kept in an aquarium with 330 l volume, a water temperature of 24-25 °C and measurements of L 120 x W 60 x H 60 cm with a skimmer (“Schuran”) including a biofilter and two T5 54W lamps, type Aqua Science special (“Aqua Science”) (see also Fig. 2, Tab. 1). For the progeny, we used three different aquarium systems. The first alternative was a small cuboid tank with 66 l linked to a system with 650 l, a skimmer (“Schuran”), a 55W UV-cleaner (“Aqua Medic”), two heaters (“Sera”) and SolarStinger SunStrip Marine 70 W lamp (“Econlux”). The second option was a bigger cuboid tank with measurements of L 40 x W 60 x H 60 cm and an

---

**Tab. 1: Overview of husbandry parameters for *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe</th>
<th>Cologne Zoo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aquarium sizes (LxWxH)</strong></td>
<td>100 x 40 x 40 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cylindrical tank sizes (HxR)</strong></td>
<td>66 x 22 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water temperature</strong></td>
<td>26 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water parameters</strong></td>
<td>NO₃⁻ 10-12.5 mg/l, PO₄³⁻ 0.25-0.34 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equipment</strong></td>
<td>skimmer type AP 703 (“Deltec”), black PVC-plates, 4x40W spotlights, 35W fluorescent tube, 2x18W UV-cleaner (“JBL”), 3 fine pored suction strainers type classic 600 (“Eheim”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decoration</strong></td>
<td>plastic Vallisneria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nourishment</strong></td>
<td>copepods, Artemia, Mysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
actual capacity of 120 l linked to a system with more than 20,000 l. The tank was illuminated with one T5 Aqua Science duo and one T5 Aqua Science special both with 54W. As a third alternative, we used a cylindrical tank with measurements of H 55 x R 15 cm, actually including up to 40 l. Another tank was linked with the cylinder including 370 l and two T5 lamps with 54W Aqua Science special. The filtration was identical to the system of the breeding tank.

All tanks were arranged with different plastic eel-, surf- and turtlegrass, obtained from "Pan-gea Rocks", DK. The NO$_3^-$ (nitrate) value in the parental aquarium was 10 mg/l and the PO$_4^{3-}$ (phosphate) value was 1.0 mg/l with 6 °KH (carbonate hardness). The rearing aquarium had slightly different values with a 0.25 mg/l PO$_4^{3-}$ (phosphate) value and the NO$_3^-$ (nitrate) value was 25 mg/l. During the breeding process, we made various water changes with fresh saltwater and vacuumed the aquarium ground. The male yellow-banded pipefish was either transferred into the breeding tank one day before hatching, which was the main method, or the freshly hatched *D. pessuliferus* were transferred into the breeding tank shortly after hatching.

**Nutrition**

In Karlsruhe, the larvae and adult *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus* were nourished with self-raised copepods, *Artemia* and *Mysis*. In addition, the larvae in Cologne Zoo were fed with *Brachionus*. The living food was nourished with marine phytoplankton, *Spirulina* or dry food and enriched with INVE Selco® S.presso and S.parkle directly before they were provided to
the juvenile yellow-banded pipefishes. Moreover, Cologne Zoo purchased another species of copepods (*Tisbe*) from "Meerwassershop" and special small eggs of *Artemia* from "Ocean Nutrition". Those eggs were removed from the capsule with chlorine before hatching.

The aquariums were supplied with copepods two days before hatching so that the juveniles could find food immediately. In Cologne, we filled the tanks with phytoplankton and *Brachionus* and sieved 60-150 µm sized copepods for first feeding. Depending on size, they were fed daily up to six times.

The juveniles received increased quantities of food in the first few days. In Karlsruhe, they were provided with living copepods three times a day for the first two weeks. Afterwards they were fed with freshly hatched *Artemia* and as soon as possible with *Mysis*. After six months, they were nourished with frozen *Mysis*. At Cologne Zoo feeding took place with micro-*Artemia* (5th or 6th day) in addition to the feeding with copepods. Whilst the offspring gained in mass, the size of the living food likewise was adapted and changed from small copepods to *Artemia* and *Mysis*. The *Brachionus* and primary copepods were acquired from "Poseidon Aquaculture". Furthermore, another generation of *D. pessuliferus* in Karlsruhe was only fed with *Artemia* during larval development.

ZIMS and “Zootierliste” analyses

We analyzed 1) the species of *Dunckerocampus* held in zoos, 2) their individual numbers, and 3) the number of institutions currently keeping *D. pessuliferus* based on available data on living specimens from the ZIMS database. Our ZIMS analysis examined the status of the yellow-banded pipefish maintained in zoos both in Europe and abroad, and was performed in October 2016 and May 2018 based on available data on living specimens. Furthermore, we analyzed the current status of the remaining six species of *Dunckerocampus* recognized at time; this analysis was performed in May 2018.

Many zoos subscribe to and enter their collection data into ZIMS; however, the completeness of these data cannot be guaranteed, as some data may be obsolete or have not (yet) been entered and some zoos do not participate in ZIMS. Thus, actual counts may be higher. Therefore, we also checked the website “Zootierliste” (http://www.zootierliste.de/) for locating additional species holdings and institutions in Germany and Europe. However, it must be taken into account that this website also includes some private zoos and animal rescue facilities.

Results

Mating and oviposition

In Karlsruhe, the first breeding happened in 2008, two years after the first couple was obtained. Cologne Zoo received the first pair in July 2016 and four weeks later the first reproductive behavior could be observed. The first hatching event at Cologne Zoo occurred eight weeks after the receipt of the breeding pair; two months later the first successful hatching events took place.

Mating and oviposition was observed at Cologne Zoo in the morning, one to two hours after switching on the light. Mating started with the male and female moving side by side, with repeated quick laterally reversed bending of the tail region. Their fins were bent outwards and they were swimming with quick contracting movement. The foreplay could take up to one hour. Subsequently, they turned their bellies towards each other and the male offered his belly surface. The spawn was attached by the female with the ovipositor along the ventral side of
the male through repeated up and down motions (Fig. 3). Fertilization of eggs and attaching to the belly of the male took only a few minutes. The male carried the initially light orange eggs until hatching, which took place around eight days after oviposition. New egg depositions were observed to take place already one day after the last hatching event. Up to 99 eggs were counted per egg deposition, with eggs having a diameter of 1.5 mm including eggshell.

**Development**

In the beginning of the embryonic phase the eggs slowly turned from light orange to dark gray with a clear black embryonic structure inside. Those structures appeared about two days before hatching. Eight days after the egg deposition the larvae hatched at nighttime. We observed the larvae to prefer the upper part of the tanks. In Cologne, it took one or two days for the larvae to hatch usually during the morning hours with either all hatching in one day or a few hatchlings at the first day and another 20-50 hatchlings during the second day. From the Karlsruhe spawn 40-70 juveniles hatched per egg deposition in one day. The freshly hatched larvae were transparent and had sizes of 4-5 mm. In comparison to the adults, their snout was shorter and they had an upwards bent body posture. Due to their initially lower head posture the larvae resembled the
body structure of a seahorse. After three days, the first red pigmentation appeared on the caudal fin. In Cologne Zoo, the first pigmentation occurred seven days after hatching. Eight days after hatching, the larvae measured 11 mm and the caudal fins were completely red colored with a light pattern.

After two weeks in Karlsruhe and after ten days in Cologne Zoo, the juvenile *D. pessuliferus* had a size of 14 mm with a discernible banded color pattern, but with broader and irregular banding compared to the adult pattern. The yellow and white parts on the caudal fin were not yet noticeable.

During a period of three weeks, beginning three days after hatching, the juveniles frequently showed their fin directed upwards. Their body shape and color now resembled that of the adults in also having a white stripe above the caudal fin. At that stage they had reached a size of 25 mm. Throughout the next weeks the pigmentation developed further and they began to actively search for shelter, for example nearby plants. Another breeding attempt in Karlsruhe was implemented with *Artemia* as sole nourishment. After ten days, the juveniles had developed the distinct bending of the caudal fin. The first pigmentation started 12 days after hatching and was completed six days later. Moreover, there was a noticeable size deficit characterized by 10-13 mm smaller juveniles compared to copepod nourished fish. There was only a 7% survival rate with the nourishment exclusively with *Artemia*. In Karlsruhe, *D. pessuliferus* offspring had grown up to 9 cm after six months and first reproduction appeared after eight months. The juveniles in Cologne had a size of 4 cm after two months with the first reproduction attempts being observed after six months, with maximum sizes of 10.5-11 cm. After one year, they were grown to 13.5 cm. For details of the development see also Figs 4-7.

**Fig. 4:** Egg development of *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus*: freshly attached eggs on 1st day (upper left), 2nd day (upper right), on 5th day, few days before hatching (lower left) and 8th day plus partially hatched larvae (lower right). Photos: J. Kirchhauser/M. Pleifler.
Breeding success

In total, ten rearing events happened in Karlsruhe during the time of data acquisition. 15–35 juveniles matured per generation, the overall survival rate was 50%. In Cologne, so far eight rearings happened successfully with a hatching rate of 50%. The survival rate ranged from 6–47.3% in the first month (there were some losses due to a *Vibrio* infection) up to an average of 70% in the second month. The average survival rate in the first month was 25% subsequently followed by almost 100%. The strongest outage in Cologne was observed in the first five days. Until the 10th day only scattered death cases were observed (Fig. 8). In Karlsruhe, the highest outage occurred during the first three weeks. Thereafter the populations showed a stable development with only a few occasional deaths. We also observed that not all of the eggs showed to be fertilized and further eggs were detached from the male and got destroyed later on or died.

![Fig. 5: Close-up development of one egg from *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus*: 2nd day (upper left), 3rd day (upper right), 6th day (lower left), and 7th day (premature hatching event, lower right). Photos: M. Pfeiffer.](image-url)
not show further development. Larvae which hatched before the 8th day were not viable. After hatching they sunk and subsequently died. Parents then reacted with new and faster egg depo-
sitions. Usually, after 4 to 5 ovipositions only normal breeding behavior could be observed.

We further observed that breeding proved to be unsuccessful when different stages of yellow-
banded pipefish were held together in one aquarium (Karlsruhe). The joint keeping of different
male yellow-banded pipefish after mating led to distinct rivalry. To the contrary, co-housing
with seahorses was possible (Cologne Zoo).

The ten documented hatching events in Karlsruhe derived from the same breeding pair; the
eight documented hatching events in Cologne likewise derived from one and the same breed-
ing pair. At the time of the article writing only F1 offspring was available in both institutions,
whereas during printing of this M S (November 2019) the fourth generation in Karlsruhe started
breeding as well as the second generation in Cologne. In Karlsruhe, subsequent breeding pairs
were formed by siblings or offspring from siblings, without any indication of inbreeding. Since
July 2019, the individuals in Karlsruhe were paired with F1 offspring from Cologne.

ZIMS and “Zootierliste” analyses

In 2016, according to ZIMS, Dunckerocampus pessuliferus (still listed therein as Doryrham-
phus pessuliferus) was kept by only six institutions worldwide with a total individual number
Fig. 7: Up to half year old juvenile *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus*: juvenile with fin directed upwards (upper left), juvenile shortly before reaching the complete color pattern (upper right), few weeks old juveniles (lower left), half year old juveniles (lower right). Photos: J. Kirchhauser.

Fig. 8: Individual losses per *Dunckerocampus pessuliferus* hatch in Cologne Zoo starting with the first hatching event in October 2016 and the last recorded one in June 2017.
of only eight individuals: a single individual was held in each of the four institutions in North America (Birch Aquarium at Scripps Institute, Rosamond Gifford Zoo at Burnet Park, Tennessee Aquarium and Toledo Zoological Gardens) and two individuals in each of the two institutions in Europe (Cologne Zoo, Oceanario de Lisboa).

Fig. 9: Institutions worldwide keeping Dunckerocampus pessuliferus: data (including individual numbers) from October 2016 (light gray) versus May 2018 (dark gray) according to ZIMS.

Fig. 10: Total number of institutions keeping Dunckerocampus in Europe (light gray: data according to ZIMS; dark gray: data from "Zootierliste")
In May 2018, the global number of institutions keeping *D. pessuliferus* had increased from six to nine according to ZIMS. Whereas holding institutions in the US stayed the same, in Europe Oceanario de Lisboa in Portugal resigned keeping *D. pessuliferus* whereas...
Tab. 2: Overview of *Dunckerocampus* kept in zoos (May 2018) in a world-wide scale according to ZIMS (n = total number of individuals).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Institutions kept</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>D. dactyliophorus</em></td>
<td>In total 16 institutions from 3 regions:</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa: 1 institution [males: 0; females: 0; other: 2]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durban/Sea World uShaka</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe: 5 institutions [males: 0; females: 0; other: 29]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauval/Zoo Parc de Beauval</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cologne/Cologne Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisboa/Oceanario de Lisboa</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Peters/Leningrad Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuppertal/Wuppertal Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America: 10 institutions [males: 4; females: 4; other: 31]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec/Aquarium du Quebec</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattanooga/Tennessee Aquarium</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epcot/Living Seab</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moody/Aquarium &amp; Rainforest at Moody Gardens</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montemarin/Monte Marine Lab and Aquarium</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niagara Falls/Aquarium of Niagara</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver/Landry’s Downtown Aquarium</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande/Albuquerque BioPark Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinhart/Steinhart Aquarium (CA Acad of Science)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa/Florida Aquarium</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. multiannulatus</em></td>
<td>In total 1 institution from 1 region</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe: 1 institution [males: 0; females: 0; other: 4]</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munich/Münchener Tierpark Hellabrunn</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. pessuliferus</em></td>
<td>In total 9 institutions from 2 regions</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe: 5 institutions [males: 2; females: 2; other: 34]</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duisburg/Duisburg Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cologne/Cologne Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neunkirchen/Neunkircher Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wuppertal/Wuppertal Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoomarine/Zoomarine - Mundo Aquatico S.A.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America: 4 institutions [males: 0; females: 0; other: 5]</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chattanooga/Tennessee Aquarium</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Jolla/Birch Aquarium at Scripps Institute</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syracuse/Rosamond Gifford Zoo at Burnet Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo/Toledo Zoo</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four new zoos stepped into the keeping of the species: Duisburg Zoo (n = 5 individuals), Neunkirchen Zoo (n = 5) and Wuppertal Zoo (n = 9) in Germany, as well as Zoomarine (n = 1) in Portugal. In the meantime, Cologne Zoo was able to increase the number of held yellow-banded pipefish from two to 18 individuals. In the US, Rosamond Gifford Zoo at Burnet Park was able to increase the number of animals from one to two individuals (Fig. 9). Therefore, in 2018 the total number of *D. pessuliferus* held in institutions around the
world grew from initially eight to 43 individuals. The highest increase in individuals took place in Europe and here in particular in Germany (from 4 individuals to 37) mainly due to transfers of offspring from Cologne Zoo. However, gender in most cases is still unknown mostly due to recent hatching events.

Entries on the website “Zootierliste” from May 2018 showed a total of 17 European institutions holding *D. pessuliferus*: In total ten institutions in Germany and seven institutions in different European countries. Six listed institutions each from Germany and from other European countries were not included in ZIMS.

Further species of *Dunckerocampus* held in zoos based on ZIMS and “Zootierliste” data from May 2018 are shown in Tables 2 and 3 (see also Figs 10-12). Thus, of the currently seven recognized species 4 (57%) are presently not held in Zoos (*D. baldwini*, *D. boylei*, *D. chapmani*, and *D. naia*). However, it cannot be excluded that some zoos keep aforementioned species under different names or respective information has not yet been entered into ZIMS.

**Discussion**

Of the seven currently recognized *Dunckerocampus* species only three are represented within zoos (*D. dactyliophorus*, *D. multiannulatus*, *D. pessuliferus*), mostly in Europe and North America. There is only scarce information published about husbandry and breeding of *Dunckerocampus* (Lange, 1989; Nikolay et al., 2011; Krause, 2012). It thus was the aim of this publication to provide a guideline for increased *Dunckerocampus* breeding, based on *D. pessuliferus* as husbandry analogue, adapted from experiences with the husbandry and successful and repeated reproduction both at the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe and in the Aquarium of the Cologne Zoo, Germany.

Based on our experiences with the breeding of *D. pessuliferus* we found that continuous and adequate nourishment in sufficient densities and increased quantities with growing larval size was among the most important parameters for successful keeping and breeding. Also, nutrition of larvae with diverse food supply is recommended as feeding with *Artemia* solely revealed to be insufficient. However, feeding with *Brachionus* was discontinued at the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe, as pipefish and also seahorses scarcely reacted to the sliding movements of the rotifers. There have been different studies on other pipefish species which revealed copepods to be the main prey, amounting to 83.5%. Due to the small mouth opening and the particular feeding mechanism prey cannot exceed a particular size (Teixeira & Vieira, 1995; Garcia et al., 2005). Larger growing prey such as *Artemia* larvae might be inappropriate or more difficult and cost expensive to overwhelm especially for juvenile pipefish (Gerking, 1994; Garcia et al., 2005).

In general, the breeding of marine fishes in zoos is still comparatively low and almost all of the marine fishes in the trade are wild-caught (Andrews, 2006). One of the reasons for the
limited captive breeding in zoos is still the lack of basic rearing knowledge for many marine species (Ajith Kumar et al., 2015). Compared to freshwater species which mostly derive from captive breeding, 90 to 99% of marine fishes traded are from wild origin (Oliver, 2003; Tissot & Hallacher, 2003; Calado, 2006). Furthermore, they are mostly harvested with techniques that have a high impact on the marine ecosystem such as the use of cyanide. Due to the increasing effects of climate change including rising sea surface temperatures and ocean acidification, pollution and overfishing, the marine biodiversity is under threat (Moore, 2008; Teuten et al., 2009; Thompson et al., 2009; Ryan et al., 2009; Tanaka et al., 2013). Because of the rapid deterioration of marine ecosystems, increased breeding as well as conservation breeding can significantly contribute to the maintenance of marine organisms.

*D. pessuliferus* is protected in the Australian portion of its range by the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999). However, there are no species-specific conservation actions in place. As breeding and provision of sufficient offspring to other zoos is feasible, as we were able to demonstrate herein, sustainable aquaristics is approachable regarding this species.

Our analyses showed that both collections keeping *D. pessuliferus* and the number of individuals kept distinctly increased in the past two years. Meanwhile, in Germany, the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe is able to provide around 50 young every year and also Cologne Zoo, that later initiated breeding, was already able to provide more than 20 young to supply four zoos in Germany. Two of the latter institutions subsequently initiated successful breeding of *D. pessuliferus* in Germany. And our experiences with the keeping and breeding of *D. pessuliferus* are also generally applicable to the management of other *Dunckerocampus* species. *D. dactyliophorus* was also successfully bred both at the Cologne Zoo and the State Museum of Natural History Karlsruhe applying the same methods described herein for *D. pessuliferus*.

Recently there has been a rethinking of ocean conservation in zoos (Barongi et al., 2015). There is an increasing number of aquariums which have implemented research programs and contribute to or have built up conservation programs for specific marine ecological systems or fish species. Even though such efforts are in place, fish are still underrepresented in terms of re-establishing projects of wild populations (Gilbert et al., 2017). In addition, only about half of the known extant fish species have been assessed for The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (Pollom, 2016).

With targeted species selection and more investment in breeding efforts, investigation and communication there is a chance to enhance from public display and education purposes towards buildup of sustainable captive colonies and even conservation breeding/assurance populations under human care. Increase in knowledge of husbandry and breeding as well as of the general biology of species further can assist wildlife biologists and conservationists in the management of the species and also can be critical for the development of new culture methods or transferring culture systems to other species (e.g. Dhert et al., 1997). Only recently, da Silva et al. (2019) highlighted both the great value of aquarium and zoo collections for addressing the aquatic biodiversity crisis as well as the importance that they maintain comprehensive, standardized globally shared taxonomic data.
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